BOARD MEMBERS: GLEN GARDNER, BILL HUEY, JULIA MARTIN, LINDSAY VAN SLAMBROOK,

FILLMORE WILSON

STAFF MEMBER PRESENT: KIM HLAVIN



RESULTS

BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW-SMALL

March 11, 2021 4:30 P.M.

"virtually via ZoomWebinar"

1. 160 ½ Smith Street - - TMS # 460-12-03-073

APP. NO. 2103-11-1

Request approval for the demolition of northern addition.

Not Rated (Radcliffeborough) c. 1885-1890

Al.B. Harley III

Old City District

Applicant:

Owner:

t: ECM III Design & Drafting, LLC

MOTION: Final Approval with staff comments.

MADE BY: MARTIN SECOND: GARDNER VOTE: FOR 5 AGAINST 0

Staff Comments:

- While this addition to the north is historic, it is not a character-defining feature of the building. The construction method employed is quite rudimentary, lacking craftsmanship, and devoid of any material that is of notable quality, character, or distinctiveness.
- 2. Eliminating the northern addition is a positive alteration and brings the building back to its original form.
- 3. The existing siding, trim, and cornice of the original form should continue along the north wall.

Staff Recommendation: Final approval with final details to staff.

2. 1 Broad Street - - TMS # 458-09-03-137

APP. NO. 2103-11-2

Requesting approval for metal framed canvas awning at front entry, including applied business name.

Category 1 (Charlestowne) c. 1853 Old and Historic District

Owner: Mark Beck

Applicant: David Thompson, Architect

MOTION: Denial as submitted.

MADE BY: $\underline{\mathsf{MARTIN}}$ SECOND: $\underline{\mathsf{WILSON}}$ VOTE: FOR $\underline{\mathsf{4}}$ AGAINST $\underline{\mathsf{0}}$ HUEY RECUSED

Staff Comments:

- 1. Installing an awning will detract from the detail and curved arch of the door surround, and conceal the unique transom. The weight could be detrimental to the façade.
- 2. We understand signage will be a challenge with so many character-defining features that should remain unobstructed.
- 3. It is important to note that this building has never had very much signage; the iconic nature of the building situated on the corner is quite alluring in and of itself.
- 4. A simple band of lettering in the frieze band above the door could be appropriate with minimal anchors.

Staff Recommendation: Denial

March 11, 2021 Page | 2

3. 32 Society Street - - TMS # 458-01-03-031

APP. NO. 2103-11-3

Requesting conceptual approval for the modification of rear carriage house and rear portion of piazza of main house.

Category 2 (Charlestowne) c. 1846 Old and Historic District

Owner: Paul and Page Dicker Applicant: Christopher Liberatos

MOTION: Final Approval as submitted.

MADE BY: MARTIN SECOND: WILSON VOTE: FOR 5 AGAINST 0

GARDNER RECUSED

Staff Comments:

1. The proposal is a sensitive and thoughtful proposal for increasing the space of the house, opening a portion of the piazza is an improvement, as is replacing the last column on the second floor piazza.

Staff Recommendation: Final Approval

4. 42 Charlotte Street - - TMS # 459-13-01-175

APP. NO. 2103-11-4

Requesting conceptual approval for the new construction of a duplex at rear.

Category 1, 2 (Mazyk-Wraggborough) c. 1831 Old and Historic District

Owner: John Paul Huguley - Bahive

Applicant: John Paul Huguley

WITHDRAWN BY APPLICANT

5. 10 Ashley Avenue - - TMS # 457-07-04-023

APP. NO. 2103-11-5

Requesting conceptual approval for rear addition including roof deck, rear stair and gate relocation.

Not Surveyed (Charlestowne) c. 1936 Old and Historic District

Owner: Meredith and Connor Duffy

Applicant: Naseem Keshmirian and Julian Leguizamon

MOTION: Conceptual approval with staff comments and final review by staff

MADE BY: MARTIN SECOND: WILSON VOTE: FOR $\underline{5}$ AGAINST $\underline{0}$

Staff Comments:

We suggest eliminating one of the windows on the south elevation of the addition. The
corner of the house could appear overwhelmed with the trim and corner-board. Adding
additional window at the east elevation would be better, and likely add more
unobstructed light.

Staff Recommendation: Conceptual approval with comments noted, and final review by staff.

6. 42 Bull Street - - TMS # 457-03-02-027

APP. NO. 2103-11-6

Requesting conceptual approval for modifications for conversion from duplex to single family residence including relocation of front door, and reconstruction of piazza stairs.

Category 3 (Harleston Village) c. 1880 Old and Historic District

Owner: Ruth and Andrew Drucker

Applicant: Becky Fenno

MOTION: Conceptual approval with staff comment two; and Board comment to use 2/2 light pattern; and final review by staff.

MADE BY: WILSON SECOND: MARTIN VOTE: FOR 5 AGAINST 0

Staff Comments:

- 1. We would suggest using a different light pattern than one-over-one. Two-over-two, or even six-over-six would be better.
- 2. A parapet might be more successful rather than the proposed low slope on the roof.

Staff Recommendation: Approval with comments noted, and final review by staff.

7. 116-118 Cannon Street - - TMS # 460-11-04-163/164

APP. NO. 2103-11-7

Requesting conceptual approval for new construction of single-family residence at rear of combined lot.

Category 4

(Cannonborough)

c.pre-1902

Old City District

Owner:

116 Cannon Street, LLC

Applicant:

JFM Architects LLC

MOTION:

Conceptual approval with staff comments noted; and final review by staff.

MADE BY: HUEY SECOND: GARDNER VOTE: FOR 4 AGAINST 0

MARTIN RECUSED

Staff Comments:

- 1. While the arrangement of the building is a somewhat atypical, the context of the property lends itself to creative solutions for rear dependency buildings on shallow lots.
- 2. We would suggest balancing the fenestration on the larger volume with 5 windows down the west elevation to for additional light in the bedrooms, and eliminating one on the north elevation.
- 3. Use a full sized window in the stairwell or eliminate all together.

Staff Recommendation: Conceptual approval with comments noted, and final review by staff.

Lindsay Van Slambrook, Chairperson

date

Kim Hlavin, BAR-S Administrator

March 15, 2021

date